Recent Case Law in German Trademark Law



2016



© Eisenführ Speiser Patentanwälte Rechtsanwälte PartGmbB

Eisenführ Speiser Patentanwälte Rechtsanwälte PartGmbB is a partnership with limited professional liability registered in the Partnerships Register of Bremen Local Court, Registration no. PR 30.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of Eisenführ Speiser Patentanwälte Rechtsanwälte PartGmbB.

Nothing in this publication constitutes legal advice. Eisenführ Speiser Patentanwälte Rechtsanwälte PartGmbB assumes no responsibility for information contained in this publication or on the website www.eisenfuhr.com and disclaims all liability with respect to such information.

CONTENT

Fo	rew	ord	2
l.		Protectability/cancellation proceedings	3
	1.	Proof of secondary meaning by means of demoscopic expert opinions	3
II.		Infringement proceedings	5
	2.	Recall obligation of the manufacturer from distribution channels as an "accessory obligation" in the case of a cease and desist order from a court	
	3.		7
	4.	A shape of goods used as a trademark	9
	5.		11
	6.		13
III.		Licenses	
	7.	Insolvency-proof nature of a trademark licence	15
		Federal Court of Justice, judgment of 21.10.2015, I ZR 173/14 – Ecosoil	

FOREWORD

At EISENFÜHR SPEISER, trademark competence is pooled across the firm in the trademarks practice group. The attorneys-at-law and patent attorneys regularly exchange information regarding new developments in case law and practice. Together, the practice group draws on a wealth of experience of over 50 years. And our clients benefit from this.

The most up-to-date case law is also taken into account in the Commentary on European Trade Mark Regulation (Eisenführ/Schennen, UMV, Carl Heymanns Verlag 2017), published by EISENFÜHR SPEISER in cooperation with Detlef Schennen, Chairperson of a Board of Appeal at the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO), now already in its fifth edition.

In this case law review, the trademarks practice group has compiled and prepared eight current practice-related decisions from the last couple of months for you.

The courts dealt in particular with specific types of trademarks such as the abstract colour trademark or the three-dimensional trademark and its distinctiveness, as well as the closely related issue of the scope of protection. Furthermore, the courts also had to deal with parallel imports of pharmaceuticals, statements on online sales platforms, conflicting company symbols or the forfeiture of claims due to trademark infringement.

April 2017

EISENFÜHR SPEISER

2 Foreword



Bremen

Am Kaffee-Quartier 3 28217 Bremen Tel +49 421 3635-0 Fax +49 421 3378788 mail@eisenfuhr.com



Munich

Arnulfstraße 27 80335 Munich Tel +49 89 549075-0 Fax +49 89 55027555 mailmuc@eisenfuhr.com



Berlin

Anna-Louisa-Karsch-Straße 2 10178 Berlin Tel +49 30 841887-0 Fax +49 30 841887-77 mailbln@eisenfuhr.com



Hamburg

Johannes-Brahms-Platz 1 20355 Hamburg Tel +49 40 309744-0 Fax +49 40 309744-44 mailham@eisenfuhr.com